



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,981	01/25/2001	Aladar A. Szalay	13070-1 5416	
7	590 01/21/2005		EXAM	INER
SHELDON & MAK Attn: David A. Farah, M.D. 225 South Lake Avenue, Suite 900 Pasadena, CA 90101			HINES, JANA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action G8/769,981 SZALAY ET AL.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Ja-Na Hines Ja-	Advisory Action	09/769,981	SZALAY ET AL.				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2004. FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the apolicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or bi) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or bi) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires their than 57 KMONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If no event, however, will the statutory period for reply work in the final rejection or event to the final rejection or event. The period for reply expires and the final rejection or event is a considerable of the final rejection or the final rejection. The period of the final rejection of the final rejection or the period of the final rejection or the period of the final rejection of		Ja-Na Hines	1645				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) at lamely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expired for the period for reply expired for reply reply received by the Different for the share for the state of the final rejection. The first first of the first	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued						
to period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort in the final rejection. OnLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REFLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or cases the final rejection. (2) as set fort in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). 1	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) INNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) diseaperoved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) YNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	, ,						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) YNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP							
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) X 10. □ Other: LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP 	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.							
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			dered but does NOT place the				
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) YNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP							
Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) YNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32. 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	Claim(s) objected to: None.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-32.		•				
10. ☐ Other: LYNETTE R. F. SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP	P.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
	10. Other:		RVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues that would require further search and consideration. The new issues are drawn to the after final amendment which now limits the method of evaluating whether an implantable material will allow bacteria to pass through. Previously the claims were not drawn to non-living implantable material, thus the claims and the prior art used in the rejections do not comprise this newly recited limitation. Thus the limitation requires further consideration and search. Moreover the amendment will not be entered because it fails to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplfying the issues for appeal. Finally, it is noted that the after final amendment touches the merits of the application and its entry would not be proper in view of applicants failure to show good and sufficient reasons why said amendments are necessary and why they were not presented earlier. Therefore, the after final amendment will not be entered.

It is also noted that applicants arguments drawn to the after final amendments and the rejections of record are moot in view of the nonentry of the after final amendments. Thus the rejections of record are maintained for the reasons already of record.